

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,308	12/12/2001	Terry Fisher	0630-00001	5656
7590 12/17/2003			EXAMINER	
Robert A. Dunn			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
Dinnin & Dunn, P.C. 2701 Cambridge Ct			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ste. 500			3724	
Auburn Hills, MI 48326			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/015,308	FISHER, TERRY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isaac N Hamilton	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleved in the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 0	October 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	1					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processes and the first sentence of the foreign language processes and the first sentence of the foreign language processes.	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate the prity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 (rest sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (received).	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/015,308

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 10/03/2003. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Rejections made under 35 USC 112 are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomas et al (1,424,050), hereafter Thomas in view of Aurness et al (5,048,189), hereafter Aurness.

Thomas discloses guide member with a longitudinal guide channel 12; support arm 10, 11, 9, 8; arcuate portion juxtaposed between arms 10, 11; linear portion 9, 10, 11, 8; body piece 14, 13, 16, 18, 17; handle 14; cutting assembly 16, 15, 17, 18; workpiece 5; holder 17, 18; cutter wheels 15; upper portion on the left side of figure 4; lower portion on the right side on figure 4; medial portion 18; cutter wheels and cutting surfaces 15; cut line is in-line with wheels 15 in figure 1; unitary piece 17, 18; slider member 13; interior channel juxtaposed between 13 and 8; direction transverse to guide member is up and down in figure 1; as the body piece slides down

Application/Control Number: 10/015,308 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

the arm 8, the distance between the cut in the paper is varied from the top edge of the workpiece 5; C-shaped cross section 12 in figure 2; when the clamps are set into channel in figure 2, no movement is allowed, however, it is inherent that in order to load the workpiece into the channel, the workpiece must be slid into the channel 12 is a substantially straight line; channel walls 12 in figure 2; selecting the cutting width is how far the user desires to slide the body piece into the workpiece; first side of guide member is above 12; second side of guide member is below 12;

Thomas does not disclose a securing bolt, and does not disclose a square cross section. Aurness teaches securing bolt 42 and square cross section juxtaposed 40 and 36. It would have been obvious to provide a securing bolt in Thomas as taught by Aurness in order to secure the body piece to the arm 8 while transporting the apparatus so that no damage is sustained to the cutting wheels. It would have been obvious to provide a square cross section in Thomas as taught by Aurness in order to indicia indicating the length of the cut being made in the workpiece. It is well know that indicia are printed on flat surfaces in order to be read clearly and quickly. Thomas also does not disclose a cube, however, Aurness teaches cube 18. It would have been obvious to provide cube 18 in Thomas as taught by Aurness in order to increase the structural integrity of the body piece.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/015,308

Art Unit: 3724

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Seitz, Shaw, Warner, and Chubb are cited for general structure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 703-305-4949. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 8am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,308

Art Unit: 3724

December 15, 2003

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700